

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

| USFS - Tahoe National Forest | |
|---|--|
| <p>The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final application.</p> <p>For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at http://www.ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2008-regulations-rev-1-16.pdf</p> | |
| General Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points. • #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points. | |

| Ground Operations | G15-02-20-G01 |
|--|---------------|
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. | |

| Planning ARRD Development | G15-02-20-P01 |
|---|---------------|
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #7 – Narrative does not support development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity selection. The land manager already has OHV Opportunity. Deduct 4 points. | |

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Factual Findings

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Planning YRRD Packer Saddle | G15-02-20-P03 |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – Project description does not support the selections. Deduct 6 points. #7 – Narrative and project does not support the first and third selection. The narrative does not address environmental review. The land manager already has OHV opportunity. Deduct 9 points. | |

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Planning YRRD Washington Feasibility Study | G15-02-20-P04 |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2b – Narrative does not support selections. Deduct 4 points | |

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Planning Eastside OHV Connectivity | G15-02-20-P05 |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – Applicant added additional stakeholders without Division or public comment. Deduct 1 point. #6 – Three volunteers listed are eligible for points. Tahoe Donner was added after preliminary without Division or public comment. Deduct 2 points. #7 – Narrative does not support development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity selection. The land manager already has OHV Opportunity. Deduct 4 points. | |

2015/16 Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program
Factual Findings

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Restoration ARRD Planning | G15-02-20-R01 |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Project is solely for Restoration planning, and does not qualify for any other selections other than T&E. Deduct 9 points. • #11 – Applicant did not provide a specific acreage amount to justify selection. Deduct 3 points. | |

| | |
|--|----------------------|
| Education & Safety TNF OHV Hotspots | G15-02-20-S01 |
| Project Cost Estimate | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff - "EZ OHV manager", increased match by \$1,625.00 without Division or public comment. Staff match is reduced from \$21,875.00 to \$20,250.00. • Total match is now \$24,750.00. | |
| Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points. | |